



# **NG2C:** Pretenuring Garbage Collector with Dynamic Generations for HotSpot Big Data Apps

Rodrigo Bruno\*, Luís Picciochi Oliveira<sup>+</sup>, Paulo Ferreira<sup>\*</sup>

rodrigo.bruno@tecnico.ulisboa.pt, luis.oliveira@feedzai.com, paulo.ferreira@inesc-id.pt

\*INESC-ID - Instituto Superior Técnico, University of Lisbon, Portugal \*Feedzai, Lisbon, Portugal

ISMM'17@Barcelona





#### **OpenJDK HotSpot Generational GCs** (PS, CMS, G1)



Two generations:

Young and Old

Surviving objects are copied to

Survivor spaces and then to
the Old generation.













Before GC cycle 1







After GC cycle 1







Before GC cycle 2







After GC cycle 2







Before GC cycle 3







After GC cycle 3







After GC cycle 3





# **Big Data Application** (simplification)

```
public void runTask(enum TaskType tt) {
    // Allocates memory to hold Working Set
    WorkingItem[] buffer = new WorkingItem[WS_SIZE];
    // Loads Working Set
    DataProvider.load(tt, buffer);
    // Process Working Set
    Result r = DataProcessor.process(tt, buffer);
    // Pushes results from computation
    Output.push(r);
}
```

- 4 threads (one per core), running 'runTask' method in loop
- Each task consumes 500 MB of memory (Working Set size)
- Eden is 2GB in size
- Tasks can take different amounts of time to finish











WS not copied

WS copied once







Thread 1

Thread 4

GC



GC

WS not copied

WS copied once







WS not copied

WS copied once







WS copied once









WS copied once









WS not copied

WS copied once

WS copied twice

Object copy per GC cycle: 1500 MB Total amount of object copy: 4500 MB







WS copied twice

WS copied once

WS not copied

Object copy per GC cycle: 1500 MB Total amount of object copy: 4500 MB Assuming average RAM bandwidth of 10GB/s (DDR3)







WS not copied

WS copied once

WS copied twice

Object copy per GC cycle: 1500 MB Total amount of object copy: 4500 MB Assuming average RAM bandwidth of 10GB/s (DDR3) 4 Threads, Eden 2GB = copy 3 tasks (1500 MB) ~= **150 ms** 







WS not copied

WS copied once

WS copied twice

Object copy per GC cycle: 1500 MB Total amount of object copy: 4500 MB Assuming average RAM bandwidth of 10GB/s (DDR3) 4 Threads, Eden 2GB = copy 3 tasks (1500 MB) ~= 150 ms 8 Threads, Eden 4GB = copy 7 tasks (3500 MB) ~= 350 ms





Task B Task A Task B Task A Task B Thread 1 Thread 2 Task C Task C Task A Thread 3 Task B Task A Task A Task B Task C Thread 4 Task D Task B Task B Time GC GC GC

WS not copied

WS copied once

WS copied twice

Object copy per GC cycle: 1500 MB Total amount of object copy: 4500 MB Assuming average RAM bandwidth of 10GB/s (DDR3) 4 Threads, Eden 2GB = copy 3 tasks (1500 MB) ~= **150 ms** 8 Threads, Eden 4GB = copy 7 tasks (3500 MB) ~= **350 ms** 16 Threads, Eden 8GB = copy 15 task (7500 MB) ~= **750 ms** 

















# How to Avoid en-masse Object Copying

- Attempt 1: Heap Resizing
  - Increase Young generation size;
  - Gives more time for objects to die;
  - ! Does not solve the problem, eventually the Young gen will get full and objects will be copied.
- Attempt 2: Reduce Task/Working Set size
  - Reduces the amount of object copying since the WS is smaller;
  - Increases overhead as more tasks and coordination is necessary to process smaller tasks.
- Attempt 3: Reuse data objects
  - Avoids allocating new memory for future Tasks;
  - Limits GC effort;
  - ! Requires major rewriting of applications combined with very unnatural Java programming style.
- Attempt 4: Off-heap memory
  - Reduces GC effort as data objects can reside in off-heap
    - Objects describing data objects still reside in the GC-managed heap
  - ! Requires manual memory management (defeats the purpose of running inside a managed heap).
- Attempt 5: Region-based/Scope-based memory allocation
  - Limits object's reachability by scope/region;
  - Limits GC effort as objects are automatically collected once the scope/region is discarded;
  - ! Requires major rewriting of existing applications;
  - ! Does not allow objects to freely move between scopes. Fits only to bag of tasks model.





# How to Avoid en-masse Object Copying

- Attempt 1: Heap Resizing
  - Increase Young generation size;
  - Gives more time for objects to die;
  - ! Does not solve the problem, eventually the Young gen will get full and objects will be copied.
- Attempt 2: Re

   Reduces th

   Increases c
   Avoiding massive object copying is non-trivial!
   Avoiding massive object copying is non-trivial!
   Existing solutions only alleviate the problem!
   Existing solutions might work in some scenarios but do not provide a general solution.
   Inamming style.
- Attempt 4: Off-
  - Reduces GC effort as data objects can reside in off-heap
  - Objects describing data objects still reside in the GC-managed heap
  - ! Requires manual memory management (defeats the purpose of running inside a managed heap).
- Attempt 5: Region-based/Scope-based memory allocation
  - Limits object's reachability by scope/region;
  - Limits GC effort as objects are automatically collected once the scope/region is discarded;
  - ! Requires major rewriting of existing applications;
  - ! Does not allow objects to freely move between scopes. Fits only to bag of tasks model.





# **Proposed Solution: NG2C**

- Goals:
  - reduce en-masse object copying
    - From object promotion
    - From object compaction
  - avoid memory and/or throughput negative impact
  - require minimal programmer knowledge and effort.
- Overview:
  - Objects are pretenured/allocated into different dynamic generations
  - Dynamic generations
    - Memory segments that can be created and discarded at runtime
    - Hold objects with similar lifetimes





# **Proposed Solution: NG2C**

- Goals:
  - reduce en-masse object copying
    - From object promotion
    - From object compaction
  - avoid memory and/or throughput negative impact
  - require minimal programmer knowledge and effort.
- Overview:
  - Objects are protonured/allocated into different dynamic constantions
  - Dyna In short: allocate objects close to each other as long as they have similar lifetimes





# Outline

- NG2C Pretenuring GC with Dynamic Generations
  - Pretenuring into Dynamic Generations
  - Application Example
  - Memory Collection
- Implementation
- Evaluation
  - Environment & Workloads
  - Programmer Effort
  - GC Pause Times
  - Throughput
- Conclusions
- Future Work





# **NG2C - Pretenuring into Dynamic Generations**

- NG2C combines:
  - **Pretenuring**: allocation of objects in older spaces;
  - **Dynamic Generations**: memory segments that hold objects with similar lifetimes. Dynamic generations can be created and destroyed at runtime.
- Pretenuring avoids costly promotion
  - Because objects are not copied around
- Dynamic generations are effortlessly collected
  - Because most objects die approximately at the same time
    - I.e., no compaction needed
- NG2C provides a simple API that can be used
  - to select which objects should be pretenured
    - By using a special annotation
  - into which dynamic generation
    - By controlling the current target generation (per-thread)







WS not copied WS copied once WS copied twice













Memory 9











```
static Generation[] generations = new Generation[TaskType.values().length];
 1
 2
345678
   static {
     for (int i = 0; i < TaskType.values().length; i++) {</pre>
       generations[i] = System.newGeneration();
     }
   }
9
   public void runTask(enum TaskType tt) {
10
11
     // Selects Target Generation for current thread
12
     System.setGeneration(generations[tt]);
13
14
     // Allocates memory to hold Working Set
15
     WorkingItem[] buffer = new @Gen WorkingItem[WS SIZE];
16
17
     // Loads Working Set
18
     DataProvider.load(tt, buffer);
19
20
     // Process Working Set
21
     Result r = DataProcessor.process(tt, buffer);
22
23
     // Pushes results from computation
24
     Output.push(r);
25 }
```





```
static Generation[] generations = new Generation[TaskType.values().length];
 1
 2
 3
   static {
     for (int i = 0; i < TaskType.values().length; i++) {</pre>
 4
 5
6
       generations[i] = System.newGeneration();
     }
 7
                                                                Creates new generation for
8
                                                                     each task type
 9
   public void runTask(enum TaskType tt) {
10
11
     // Selects Target Generation for current thread
     System.setGeneration(generations[tt]);
12
13
14
     // Allocates memory to hold Working Set
     WorkingItem[] buffer = new @Gen WorkingItem[WS SIZE];
15
16
17
     // Loads Working Set
     DataProvider.load(tt, buffer);
18
19
20
     // Process Working Set
21
     Result r = DataProcessor.process(tt, buffer);
22
23
     // Pushes results from computation
24
     Output.push(r);
25 }
```





```
static Generation[] generations = new Generation[TaskType.values().length];
 1
 2
 3
   static {
 4
     for (int i = 0; i < TaskType.values().length; i++) {</pre>
 5
       generations[i] = System.newGeneration();
 6
 7
                                                                 Creates new generation for
8
                                                                      each task type
 9
   public void runTask(enum TaskType tt) {
10
     // Selects Target Generation for current thread
11
12
     System.setGeneration(generations[tt]);
13
14
     // Allocates memory to hold Working Set
     WorkingItem[] buffer = new @Gen WorkingItem[WS SIZE];
15
16
17
     // Loads Working Set
     DataProvider.load(tt, buffer);
18
19
20
     // Process Working Set
     Result r = DataProcessor.process(tt, buffer);
21
                                                                 Selects the correct Dynamic
22
23
     // Pushes results from computation
                                                                   Generation for allocating
24
     Output.push(r);
                                                                      data for this task.
25 }
```

















# **NG2C - Memory Collection**

- NG2C memory collection algorithms are inherited from
  - Garbage First (Detlefs, 2004)
- Types of GC cycles:
  - **Minor GC** (inherited from G1): Young generation is collected. Surviving objects are moved to survivor spaces or to the Old generation.
  - Mixed GC (adapted from G1): besides collecting the Young generation, a Mixed GC might also collect memory from other generations, including dynamic generations. Survivor objects are moved to the Old generation.
  - **Full GC** (adapted from G1): collects all generations. Survivors are moved to the Old generation. Should be avoided at all cost.

#### • Concurrent Marking:

- Traverses the heap marking reachable objects
- Collects unreachable memory blocks
  - Most efficient way of collecting dynamic generations





# Implementation

- Implemented for the OpenJDK 8 HotSpot JVM
  - Not a toy implementation
- Built on top of G1, the new by-default collector;
- Extends JVM to allow object allocation and collection in any generation:
  - Code interpretation
  - Code JIT
  - TLAB management
  - Heap Region management
  - Remembered Set management
  - o ...
- Approx. 2000 LOC





# Evaluation

- Evaluate NG2C's performance compared to:
  - CMS and G1 popular OpenJDK GCs
  - C4 Zing GC
- Big Data Platforms & Workloads:
  - Cassandra (Key-Value Store)
    - Feedzai (credit-card transaction validation)
      - Real world based workload (mixes reads and writes)
    - Synthetic workloads (YCSB)
      - Write-Intensive (75% writes), Read-Intensive (75% reads)
  - Lucene (In-Memory Indexing Tool)
    - Read/Write transactions on Wikipedia dump (33M documents)
      - Write-intensive (80% writes)
  - GraphChi (Graph Processing Engine)
    - Twitter graph dump (42M vertexes, 1.5B edges) processing
      - PageRank
      - Connected Components





# Evaluation

Evaluation Uses:

- Real world platforms (Cassandra, Lucene)
- Real data (Lucene, GraphChi)
- Real Workloads (Feedzai)
- Platforms & Workloads:
  - Cassandra (Key-Value Store)
    - Feedzai (credit-card transaction validation)
      - Real world based workload (mixes reads and writes)
    - Synthetic workloads (YCSB)
      - Write-Intensive (75% writes), Read-Intensive (75% reads)
  - Lucene (In-Memory Indexing Tool)
    - Read/Write transactions on Wikipedia dump (33M documents)
      - Write-intensive (80% writes)
  - GraphChi (Graph Processing Engine)
    - Twitter graph dump (42M vertexes, 1.5B edges) processing
      - PageRank
      - Connected Components





#### **Evaluation - Environment**

Platform	Workload	CPU	RAM	OS	Heap Size	Young Size
Cassandra	Feedzai	Intel Xeon E5-2680	64GB	CentOS 6.7	30GB	4GB
Cassandra	RI,WI	Intel Xeon E5505	16GB	Linux 3.13	12GB	2GB
Lucene	RW	AMD Opteron 6168	128GB	Linux 3.16	120GB	2GB
GraphChi	PR,CC	AMD Opteron 6168	128GB	Linux 3.16	120GB	6GB





#### **Evaluation - Pause Times (Cassandra)**







#### **Evaluation - Pause Times (Lucene and GraphChi)**







#### Evaluation - Throughput (Cassandra) - 10 min sample



17





# **Evaluation - Programmer Effort**

- Code changes to use NG2C:
  - Cassandra
    - 11 code locations with @Gen
    - 11 code locations NG2C API calls
  - Lucene
    - 8 code locations with @Gen
  - GraphChi
    - 9 code locations with @Gen
- Code changes suggested by the Object Lifetime Recorder (OLR)
  - We profiled each platform for 10 mins
    - Enough for the workload to stabilize







# Conclusions

- NG2C provides a realistic approach to improve Big Data application memory management in HotSpot
  - It decreases pause times by avoiding object copying
  - It requires minimal programmer effort and knowledge
  - It does not compromise throughput
- Results are very encouraging
- NG2C is implemented for HotSpot 8
  - Code is available at <u>github.com/rodrigo-bruno/ng2c</u>





# **Future Work**

- Improve Object Lifetime Recorder and automatically rewrite bytecode at load time to incorporate NG2C API calls and annotation
  - Completely replaces programmer effort and knowledge
  - $\circ$   $\,$  Work is being peer-reviewed
- Provide in-JVM support for dynamic generations and pretenuring
  - JVM must internally estimate the appropriate generation for each alloc. site
  - JVM must dynamically change the target generation for each alloc. site
  - Work in progress
    - Current prototype leads to up to 6% performance degradation for Cassandra
    - There are still several performance improvements to be done





# Thank you for your time. Questions?

Rodrigo Bruno email: <u>rodrigo.bruno@tecnico.ulisboa.pt</u> webpage: <u>www.gsd.inesc-id.pt/~rbruno</u> ng2c's github: <u>github.com/rodrigo-bruno/ng2c</u>





# **NG2C - Object Lifetime Recorder**

