



ALMA - GC-assisted JVM Live Migration for Java Server Applications

Rodrigo Bruno, Paulo Ferreira {rodrigo.bruno,paulo.ferreira}@inesc-id.pt INESC-ID - Instituto Superior Técnico, ULisboa

Middleware'16@Trento















































Goals

Support JVM live migration with:

- Low total migration time;
- Low application downtime;
- Low application throughput impact;
- Low resource overhead;
- No programmer intervention;
- ✓ No special hardware/OS.





JVM Live Migration (challenges)

- ! Keep migration and application down times short;
- Avoid high resource (eg. CPU, Network) overhead;
- ! Avoid application slowdown / performance overhead;
- **!** Cope with fast moving / allocation intensive applications;
- Cope with low/congested network bandwidths;





Drawbacks of Current Solutions

- **×** Force application throttling (Clark et. al, 2005);
- ★ Rely on high speed networks (Huang et. al, 2007);
- ★ Fail to determine the live Working Set (Hou et. al, 2015);
- X When only a process is targeted:
 - the whole system VM is migrated (containing multiple processes and kernel);
 - the whole process image is migrated (including unreachable data).
- ✗ Force full GC before migration (Kawachiya et. al, 2007);





ALMA - Key Insights

- Migrate only the process (JVM)
 - avoid kernel, other processes, etc;
- Use GC to reduce the snapshot size;
- Dynamically minimize the size of the memory to migrate
 - migrate only live objects
 - only collect regions which can be collected faster than transmitted through the network.

This leads to small (with almost only live data) snapshots.





Presentation Overview

- GC background
- ALMA
 - Collection Set
 - Migration Workflow
 - Architecture
- Implementation
- Evaluation
 - App. Downtime
 - Total Migration Time
 - App. Throughput
 - Network Bandwidth Usage



Ο



- **G1** (most recent OpenJDK garbage collector):
 - Heap is divided into Ο Regions (E,S,H,O)
 - Set of regions to collect: Ο Collection Set (CS)



TÉCNICO

- GC Background
- Parallel Scavenge (old):
 - Spaces: Eden, Survivor, Old Ο
 - Each space is a continuous Ο memory block;
 - Young collection (only Eden and Ο Survivor spaces), or
 - Full collection (all spaces)









Minimize size of snapshot

• Amount of data included in the snapshot:

$$Data = \sum_{Heap} used(r) - \sum_{CS} dead(r) \tag{1}$$





Minimize size of snapshot

• Amount of data included in the snapshot:

$$Data = \sum_{Heap} used(r) - \sum_{CS} dead(r)$$
(1)

• Total GCCost (time) for collecting the Collection Set (CS):

$$GCCost = \sum_{CS} cost(r) \tag{2}$$





Minimize size of snapshot

• Migration Cost (time) for migrating JVM:

$$MigrationCost = \frac{Data}{NetBandwidth} + GCCost \qquad (3)$$





Minimize size of snapshot

• Migration Cost (time) for migrating JVM:

$$MigrationCost = \frac{Data}{NetBandwidth} + GCCost \qquad (3)$$

GC Rate (amount of dead space collected per amount of time):

$$GCRate(r) = \frac{dead(r)}{cost(r)}$$
 (4)





Minimize size of snapshot

• Migration Cost (time) for migrating JVM:

$$MigrationCost = \frac{Data}{NetBandwidth} + GCCost \qquad (3)$$

• GC Rate (amount of dead space collected per amount of time):

$$GCRate(r) = \frac{dead(r)}{cost(r)}$$
 (4)

• CS is the group of regions with GC Rate inferior to the Network Bandwidth:

 $CS = \{\forall r : GCRate(r) > NetBandwidth\}$ (5)





Set of regions which can be collected faster than transmitted through the network:

- Without collection, migration cost is X
- With collection, migration cost is X' + GCCost

X > X' + GCCost

• CS is the group of regions with GC Rate inferior to the Network Bandwidth:

 $CS = \{\forall r : GCRate(r) > NetBandwidth\}$ (5)





ALMA: Migration Workflow



Steps:

- 1. Prepare Snapshot
- 2. Build and Collect CS (Migr. Aware GC)
- 3. Return Free Mappings
- 4. Send Free Mappings to Coordinator
- 5. Checkpoint JVM
- 6. Send Snapshot
- 7. Stop JVM, incremental snapshot
- 8. Send final snapshot
- 9. Restore JVM from snapshot.





ALMA: Architecture



Components:

- **Application**: target application to migrate;
- Agent: analyzes the JVM;
- **Coordinator**: coordinates migration;
- **Dump**: takes JVM snapshots;
- Img Proxy: sends snapshot;
- Img Cache: caches snapshot;
- **Restore**: restores JVM from snapshots;





Implementation

- ALMA augmented G1 to support Migration Aware GC;
- Coordinator is implemented by extending CRIU to support remote migration. ALMA added two new components to CRIU:
 - Image Proxy sends snapshot to the destination site;
 - Image Cache caches snapshot in the destination site;
 - A patch is being iteratively refined to add both components to CRIU.





- Evaluate ALMA's performance compared to:
 - **CRIU** Checkpoint and Restore for Linux;
 - JAVMM (Hou et. al, 2015) Extends Xen to migrate Java applications. It simply collects the young generation before migration;
 - **ALMA-PS** Similar to JAVMM but based on CRIU.
- Environment:
 - OpenStack VMs with 4vCPUs and 4GB RAM
 - DaCapo and SpecJVM2008 benchmark suites





- **Our Baseline** performance compared to:
 - **CRIU** Checkpoint and Restore for Linux
 - JAVMM (Hou et. al, 2015) Extends Xen to migrate Java applications. It simply collects the young generation before migration.
 - **ALMA-PS** Similar to JAVMM but based on CRIU;
- Environment:
 - OpenStack VMs with 4vCPUs and 4GB RAM
 - DaCapo and SpecJVM2008 benchmark suites





- **Our Baseline** performance compared to:
 - CRIU Check Targets JVM migration; Uses PS to reduce snapshot size
 - JAVMM (Hou et. al, 2015) Extends Xen to migrate Java applications. It simply collects the young generation before migration.
 - **ALMA-PS** Similar to JAVMM but based on CRIU;
- Environment:
 - OpenStack VMs with 4vCPUs and 4GB RAM
 - DaCapo and SpecJVM2008 benchmark suites





- **Our Baseline** performance compared to:
 - CRIU Check Targets JVM migration; Uses PS to reduce snapshot size
 - JAVMM (Hou et. al, 2015) Extends Xen to migrate Java

Similar to ALMA, but using PS (as in JVMM)

n before

- **ALMA-PS** Similar to JAVMM but based on CRIU;
- Environment:
 - OpenStack VMs with 4vCPUs and 4GB RAM
 - DaCapo and SpecJVM2008 benchmark suites





- Application Downtime;
- Total Migration Time;
- Application Throughput;
- Network Bandwidth Usage;
- Migration-aware GC vs G1 GC (refer to paper)
- ALMA with more resources (refer to paper)





- Application Downtime;
- Total Migration Time;
- Application Throughput;

These metrics measure the impact of migration on application performance.

- Network Bandwidth Usage;
- Migration-aware GC vs G1 GC (refer to paper)
- ALMA with more resources (refer to paper)





Evaluation - Application Downtime (seconds)







Evaluation - Total Migration Time (seconds)

DaCapo



SPECjvm2008





Evaluation - Application Throughput (normalized)







Evaluation - Network Bandwidth Usage (MBs)







Conclusions

- ALMA offers efficient migration of Java server applications
 by selectively avoiding garbage when it pays off
- ALMA's implementation is based on OpenJDK and CRIU;
 - Code is available at: <u>github.com/rodrigo-bruno/alma</u>
- ALMA outperforms current solutions in:
 - Reducing application overhead
 - Reducing total migration time and downtime
 - Reducing network bandwidth usage





Thank you for your time. Questions?

Rodrigo Bruno email: <u>rodrigo.bruno@tecnico.ulisboa.pt</u> webpage: <u>www.gsd.inesc-id.pt/~rbruno</u> alma's github: <u>github.com/rodrigo-bruno/alma</u>